



Hudson-Bergen Light Rail (HBLR) Route 440 Extension—Alternatives Analysis

FINAL Evaluation of Alternatives Report

Project Sponsor:

NJ TRANSIT 
One Penn Plaza East
Newark, New Jersey 07105-2246
973-491-7000

Prepared by:

AKRF, Inc.

BEM Systems, Inc.

BET Engineering Consultants, Inc.

Gannett Fleming Engineers and Architects, PC

InGroup, Inc.

JCMS, Inc.

RES Advisors

Techniquequest Corporation

February 2011

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Background and Planning Context	1-1
1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Overview of HBLR System.....	1-1
1.2 Project Location	1-3
1.2.1 Existing Transit Services.....	1-3
1.2.2 Future Development Plans	1-3
1.2.3 Travel Market.....	1-4
1.2.4 Environmental Setting	1-5
1.2.4.1 Land Use	1-5
1.2.4.2 Social Conditions.....	1-6
1.2.4.3 Natural Resources.....	1-7
1.3 Problem Identification	1-7
1.3.1 Existing Transit Service	1-9
1.3.2 Mobility.....	1-9
1.3.3 Future Development.....	1-10
1.3.4 Pedestrian Safety	1-10
1.4 Purpose and Need.....	1-11
1.5 Goals and Objectives	1-11
 Chapter 2: Analysis Framework.....	 2-1
2.0 Introduction	2-1
2.1 Alternatives Development Process.....	2-1
2.2 Evaluation Criteria	2-2
2.3 Alternatives Development	2-4
2.3.1 Overview	2-4
2.3.1.1 Mode.....	2-4
2.3.1.2 Alignment.....	2-4
2.3.1.3 Logical Terminology.....	2-4
2.3.2 Initial List of Alternatives	2-5
2.3.2.1 No Action Alternative	2-5
2.3.2.2 TSM Alternatives.....	2-5
2.3.2.3 BRT Alternative	2-5
2.3.2.4 Light Rail Alternatives	2-5
2.3.3 Alternatives Screening and Refinement	2-6
2.3.3.1 Fatal Flaws Analysis	2-6
2.3.3.2 Refined List of Alternatives.....	2-8

Chapter 3: Long List of Alternatives.....	3-1
3.0 Introduction.....	3-1
3.1 Description of Alternatives.....	3-1
3.1.1 TSM Alternative.....	3-1
3.1.2 Light Rail Alternatives.....	3-3
3.1.2.1 Bayfront Alternatives	3-3
3.1.2.2 Society Hill Alternatives	3-4
3.1.2.3 Lincoln Park Alternatives.....	3-6
3.2 Evaluation of Long List Alternatives	3-7
3.2.1 Project Goal #1—Support existing and proposed development in the West Side community	3-8
3.2.2 Project Goal #2—Minimize effects on existing and proposed HBLR operations	3-9
3.2.3 Project Goal #3—Minimize adverse effects on the built and natural environment.....	3-10
3.3 Conclusion	3-11
Chapter 4: Short List of Alternatives.....	4-1
4.0 Introduction.....	4-1
4.1 Engineering, Operations, and Environmental Context	4-1
4.1.1 Engineering Considerations	4-1
4.1.1.1 Alignment	4-1
4.1.1.2 Station Planning	4-3
4.1.2 Capital and Operating and Maintenance Costs	4-3
4.1.3 Operations Planning.....	4-4
4.1.4 Ridership Forecasting.....	4-4
4.1.5 Environmental Context	4-5
4.1.5.1 Land Use and Social Conditions	4-5
4.1.5.2 Natural Resources	4-6
4.1.5.3 Cultural Resources	4-7
4.1.5.4 Parklands and Open Space	4-8
4.1.5.5 Visual Resources.....	4-8
4.1.5.6 Air Quality, Energy, and Greenhouse Gases	4-8
4.1.5.7 Noise and Vibration.....	4-8
4.1.5.8 Hazardous Materials	4-8
4.2 Description of Alternatives.....	4-9
4.2.1 TSM Alternative.....	4-9
4.2.1.1 Alignment	4-9
4.2.1.2 Stations.....	4-9
4.2.1.3 Cost.....	4-10
4.2.1.4 Operations.....	4-10
4.2.1.5 Ridership	4-11
4.2.1.6 Environmental Considerations	4-11
4.2.2 Alternative 1A: Elevated Extension to Bayfront with One Station.....	4-12
4.2.2.1 Alignment	4-13

Table of Contents

4.2.2.2	Stations	4-15
4.2.2.3	Cost	4-16
4.2.2.4	Operations	4-17
4.2.2.5	Ridership	4-17
4.2.2.6	Environmental Considerations	4-17
4.2.3	Alternative 1C: Elevated Extension to Bayfront with Two Stations.....	4-21
4.2.3.1	Alignment.....	4-21
4.2.3.2	Stations	4-22
4.2.3.3	Cost	4-23
4.2.3.4	Operations	4-23
4.2.3.5	Ridership	4-24
4.2.3.6	Environmental Considerations	4-24
4.2.4	Alternative 1D: Elevated Extension to Bayfront with Station at Route 440 ...	4-26
4.2.4.1	Alignment.....	4-26
4.2.4.2	Stations	4-27
4.2.4.3	Cost	4-27
4.2.4.4	Operations	4-28
4.2.4.5	Ridership	4-28
4.2.4.6	Environmental Considerations	4-29
4.3	Evaluation of Short List Alternatives.....	4-31
4.3.1	Engineering, Cost, Operational, Ridership, and Environmental Considerations	4-31
4.3.1.1	TSM Alternative	4-31
4.3.1.2	Alternative 1A	4-31
4.3.1.3	Alternative 1C	4-32
4.3.1.4	Alternative 1D	4-32
4.3.2	Consistency with Project Goals and Objectives.....	4-32
4.4	Conclusion.....	4-34
Chapter 5: Public Involvement		5-1
5.0	Introduction	5-1
5.1	Public Outreach Program.....	5-1
5.1.1	Approach.....	5-2
5.1.1.1	Targeted Outreach.....	5-2
5.1.1.2	General Outreach	5-2
5.1.2	Outreach Materials	5-2
5.2	Public Meetings.....	5-3
5.2.1	Phase 1: Project Initiation.....	5-3
5.2.1.1	Technical Advisory Committee Meeting.....	5-3
5.2.1.2	Public Open House.....	5-4
5.2.2	Phase 2: Development and Refinement of Alternatives	5-4
5.2.2.1	Technical Advisory Committee Meeting.....	5-4
5.2.2.2	Public Open House.....	5-5
5.2.3	Phase 3: Alternatives Evaluation and Recommendation of Locally Preferred Alternative	5-6

5.2.3.1	Technical Advisory Committee Meeting	5-6
5.2.3.2	Public Open House	5-7
5.2.3.3	Public Review of the Draft Alternatives Analysis Report	5-7
5.3	Contact Information	5-9
Chapter 6: Recommendation of the Locally Preferred Alternative.....		6-1
6.0	Introduction.....	6-1
6.1	Description of the Locally Preferred Alternative.....	6-3
6.2	Benefits of the Locally Preferred Alternative.....	6-3
6.3	Cost Effectiveness	6-4
6.4	Funding and Financing Opportunities	6-5
6.4.1	New Starts/Small Starts Funding.....	6-5
6.4.2	Local Funding.....	6-5
6.5	Public Involvement.....	6-7
6.6	Next Steps	6-7

Appendices

Appendix A: Alignment Drawings for the Long List of Alternatives

Appendix B: Proposed Modification of Bayfront Street Grid

Appendix C: Plans and Profiles of the Short List of Alternatives

Appendix D: Station Plans

List of Tables

Table 1-1: HBLR Weekday Boardings by Station (October 2010).....	1-2
Table 1-2: Journey to Work and Reverse Journey to Work Data for the Study Area.....	1-5
Table 1-3: Study Area Population Characteristics	1-8
Table 1-4: Goals and Objectives	1-11
Table 2-1: Performance Measures	2-3
Table 2-2: Initial List of Light Rail Alternatives	2-6
Table 2-3: Refined Long List of Light Rail Alternatives	2-8
Table 3-1: TSM Alternatives	3-2
Table 3-2: Screening of Long List of Alternatives	3-11
Table 4-1: Cost Estimates for the TSM Alternative	4-10
Table 4-2: 2035 Daily HBLR Boardings for the TSM Alternative.....	4-11
Table 4-3: Environmental Screening Analysis of the TSM Alternative.....	4-12
Table 4-4: Cost Estimate for Alternative 1A.....	4-17
Table 4-5: 2035 Daily HBLR Boardings for Alternative 1A.....	4-18
Table 4-6: Environmental Screening Analysis of Alternative 1A.....	4-19
Table 4-7: Cost Estimates for Alternative 1C.....	4-23
Table 4-8: 2035 Daily HBLR Boardings for Alternative 1C.....	4-24
Table 4-9: Environmental Screening Analysis of Alternative 1C	4-25
Table 4-10: Cost Estimates for Alternative 1D	4-28
Table 4-11: 2035 Daily HBLR Boardings for Alternative 1D.....	4-28
Table 4-12: Environmental Screening Analysis of Alternative 1D	4-29
Table 6-1: Comparison of Station Boardings for the No Action Alternative and the Locally Preferred Alternative (Alternative 1A).....	6-4

List of Figures

Follows Page

Figure 1-1: Hudson-Bergen Light Rail System Map.....	1-2
Figure 1-2: Project Setting	1-4
Figure 1-3: Existing Bus Service in the Study Area	1-4
Figure 1-4: Low-Income and Minority Areas in the Study Area.....	1-8
Figure 1-5: Pedestrian Conditions along Route 440	1-10
Figure 2-1: Alternatives Analysis Process.....	2-2
Figure 2-2: Preliminary Concepts for Light Rail Alignments	2-4
Figure 3-1: TSM Alternative 1: Realigned 440 Shopper Bus Service.....	3-2
Figure 3-2: TSM Alternative 2: Enhanced Society Hill-Journal Square Bus Service	3-2
Figure 3-3: TSM Alternative 3: Circulator Bus Service	3-2
Figure 3-4: Alternatives 1A, 1C, and 1D—Bayfront Alternatives	3-4
Figure 3-5: Alternatives 2A, 2B, and 2D—Society Hill Alternatives	3-4
Figure 3-6: Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3D—Lincoln Park Alternatives	3-6
Figure 4-1: Existing Land Use	4-6
Figure 4-2: Future Land Use (ca. 2035).....	4-6
Figure 4-3: Land Ownership: Public and Private Land	4-6
Figure 4-4: Wetlands Mapped by National Wetlands Inventory and NJDEP	4-6
Figure 4-5: Floodplains.....	4-8
Figure 4-6: Historic Resources	4-8
Figure 4-7: Existing and Proposed Publicly Accessible Open Space.....	4-8
Figure 4-8: Future Land Use and FTA Land Use Categories	4-8
Figure 4-9: TSM Alternative	4-10
Figure 4-10: Alternative 1A – Elevated Extension to Bayfront with One Station.....	4-14
Figure 4-11: Alternative 1C – Elevated Extension to Bayfront with Two Stations	4-22
Figure 4-12: Alternative 1D – Elevated Extension to Bayfront with Station at Route 440	4-26
Figure 4-13: Engineering, Cost, Operations, Ridership, and Environmental Considerations for the Short List of Alternatives	4-32
Figure 4-14: Evaluation of Alternatives.....	4-34